



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/048,114	03/05/2002	Rainer Hillebrand	RBL0085	6351

7590

02/11/2004

John F Hoffman
Baker & Daniels
111 East Wayne Street
Suite 800
Fort Wayne, IN 46802

EXAMINER

FAROOQ, MOHAMMAD O

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2182

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application N .

10/048,114

Applicant(s)

HILLEBRAND, RAINER

Examiner

Mohammad O. Farooq

Art Unit

2182

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 March 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because some of the previous labels have been crossed out by pen and modifications to the labels are hand written . A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinomura et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,108,709 in view of Keller et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,289,396.

Art Unit: 2182

3. As to claim 1, Shinomura et al. teach process for the automatic adaptation of the data to be transferred from a data-preparing device to a data-requesting device, to the capabilities of this latter device (col. 3, lines 19-67; col. 4, lines 1-9).

Characterized in that the data-preparing device receives information about the capabilities of the data-requesting device and the data to be transferred are transmitted to the data-requesting device in correspondence to the pre-determined capabilities (col. 3, lines 19-67; col. 4, lines 1-9).

Shinomura et al. do not teach information data contain statements in regard to the resolution and color depth workable by the display of the data-requesting device. Keller et al. teach information data contain statements in regard to the resolution and color depth workable by the display of the data requesting device (col. 7, lines 12-45). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Shinomura et al. and Kellet et al. because that would provide flexible and dynamic reconfiguration basis for the system (col. 3, lines 14-17).

4. As to claim 2, Shinomura et al. teach information data from the data-requesting device are transmitted to the data-preparing device (col. 3, lines 28-46).

5. As to claim 3, Shinomura et al. teach the information data are transmitted to the data-preparing device through a device (database) engaged between the data-requesting device and the data-preparing device (col. 3, lines 28-67).

Art Unit: 2182

6. As to claim 4, Shinomura et al. teach the data to be requested are stored in a central data bank of the data-preparing device and, on call are formatted (changed) by the formatting device into the prescribed data format and transmitted to the data-requesting device (col. 3, lines 28-67; col. 4, lines 1-9).

7. As to claim 5, Shinomura et al. teach the information data are transmitted to the data-preparing device over a device engaged between the data-requesting device and the data-preparing device (col. 3, lines 28-67).

8. As to claim 6, Shinomura et al. teach the requested data are stored in a central data bank of the data-preparing device and, on call, are formatted by a formatting device into the predetermined data format and transmitted to the data-requesting device (col. 3, lines 28-67; col. 4, lines 1-9).


9. As to claim 7, Shinomura et al. teach the requested data are stored in a central data bank (database) of the data-preparing device and, on call, are formatted by a formatting device into a predetermined data format and transmitted to the data-requesting device (col. 3, lines 1-67; col. 4, lines 1-9).

Art Unit: 2182

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad O. Farooq whose telephone number is (703) 305-3888. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


JEFFREY GAFFIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Mohammad O. Farooq
February 5, 2004